

## GROUP ALGEBRA MODULES. III

BY

S. L. GULICK<sup>(1)</sup>, T.-S. LIU<sup>(1)</sup> AND A. C. M. VAN ROOIJ

**Abstract.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a locally compact group and  $K$  a Banach space. The left  $L^1(\Gamma)$  module  $K$  is by definition absolutely continuous under the composition  $*$  if for  $k \in K$  there exist  $f \in L^1(\Gamma)$ ,  $k' \in K$  with  $k = f * k'$ . If the locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is a transformation group over  $\Gamma$  and has a measure quasi-invariant with respect to  $\Gamma$ , then  $L^1(X)$  is an absolutely continuous  $L^1(\Gamma)$  module—the main object we study. If  $Y \subseteq X$  is measurable, let  $L_Y$  consist of all functions in  $L^1(X)$  vanishing outside  $Y$ . For  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  not locally null and  $B$  a closed linear subspace of  $K$ , we observe the connection between the closed linear span (denoted  $L_\Omega * B$ ) of the elements  $f * k$ , with  $f \in L_\Omega$  and  $k \in B$ , and the collection of functions of  $B$  shifted by elements in  $\Omega$ . As a result, a closed linear subspace of  $L^1(X)$  is an  $L_Z$  for some measurable  $Z \subseteq X$  if and only if it is closed under pointwise multiplication by elements of  $L^\infty(X)$ . This allows the theorem stating that if  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  are both measurable, then there is a measurable subset  $Z$  of  $X$  such that  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Z$ . Under certain restrictions on  $\Gamma$ , we show that this  $Z$  is essentially open in the (usually stronger) orbit topology on  $X$ . Finally we prove that if  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  are both relatively sigma-compact, and if also  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ , then there exist  $\Omega_1$  and  $Y_1$  locally almost everywhere equal to  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  respectively, such that  $\Omega_1 Y_1 \subseteq Y_1$ ; in addition we characterize those  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  for which  $L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_\Omega$  and  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$ .

**1. Introduction.** This paper, and the one which follows, arise quite naturally from our earlier papers [3] and [4]. Let us see how. Take  $\Gamma$  as a locally compact group, and  $L^1(\Gamma)$  the Banach space of integrable functions on  $\Gamma$ . If we let  $K$  be an arbitrary left  $L^1(\Gamma)$  module, we may inquire what are the left module homomorphisms from  $L^1(\Gamma)$  to  $K$ . In [3], amongst other things, we give a (not quite complete) solution to the general question, and then give complete solutions in case  $K = L^p(\Gamma)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . In [4] we assume that  $\Gamma$  acts on a given locally compact space  $X$  as a transformation group and that  $m_X$  is a measure on  $X$  quasi-invariant with respect to  $\Gamma$ . Then we show that  $L^p(X)$  may be rendered as a left  $L^1(\Gamma)$  module, to which we may ask what are the left module homomorphisms from  $L^1(\Gamma)$  to  $L^p(X)$ .

The present investigations start at that point. In this paper we discuss the more general aspects of Banach spaces  $K$  which can be represented as left  $L^1(\Gamma)$  modules. We denote the module composition by  $*$ . We pay particular attention to those modules whose elements are factorable (i.e.,  $k \in K$  implies that there is an

---

Received by the editors June 17, 1969.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4680, 2220.

**Key words and phrases.** Transformation group, quasi-invariant measure, absolutely continuous measure, approximate identity, factorable, orbit topology, vanishing algebra, group algebra module.

<sup>(1)</sup> Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Copyright © 1970, American Mathematical Society

$f \in L^1(\Gamma)$  and  $k' \in K$  such that  $k = f * k'$ . Such spaces we call absolutely continuous modules. For each element in such a  $K$  we can describe the notion of left shift by elements of  $\Gamma$ , and each such shift by  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  is continuous as a function of  $\sigma$ .

A major reason for our study of absolutely continuous modules appears in §3. For  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ , let  $L_\Omega$  consist of all  $L^1(\Gamma)$  functions vanishing off  $\Omega$ . If  $B$  is a closed subspace of  $K$ , we denote by  $L_\Omega * B$  the closed linear span of elements of the form  $f * k$ ,  $f \in L_\Omega$  and  $k \in K$ . The fundamental Decomposition Theorem 3.2 states that if  $\Omega$  is not locally null, then any such  $L_\Omega * B$  can be approximated by sums of shifts of  $B$  by elements essentially (to be made precise in the text) in  $\Omega$ . If  $Y$  is measurable in  $X$ , and  $L_Y$  has a meaning analogous to  $L_\Omega$ , then  $L_\Omega * L_Y$  may be approximated by sums of shifts of  $L_Y$ . In particular,  $L_\Gamma * L_\Omega = L_\Gamma$ , the whole space! Together with Theorem 3.9, which determines that a closed linear subspace of  $L^1(X)$  is an  $L_Z$  for some measurable  $Z \subseteq X$  if and only if it is closed under pointwise multiplication by  $L^\infty(X)$ , these results allow us to prove in Theorem 3.10 that if  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  are both measurable, then there exists a measurable subset  $Z$  of  $X$  such that  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Z$ . We terminate the section with a sort of counterpart to the decomposition and a corollary of value in later studies.

In the rest of this paper we analyze the set  $Z$  occurring above—under the stipulation that if  $\Gamma_0$  is a sigma-compact open subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then  $m_X(\Gamma_0 x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . This condition gives us certain continuity conditions on the convolution which we utilize, and without the condition  $Z$  is unmanageable. It turns out that  $Z$  is essentially open—in a certain natural topology which ordinarily is stronger than the given topology on  $X$ . This new topology we call the orbit topology since it is described in terms of the orbits  $\Gamma x$ ,  $x \in X$ , and we discuss it in §4 when we are in the process of determining  $Z$ .

In §5 we study the relationship between the two notions  $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$  and  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ . That  $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$  implies  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$  is true and easy to prove. The converse would say that if  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$  then there exist  $\Omega_1$ ,  $Y_1$  l.a.e. equal to  $\Omega$ ,  $Y$  respectively, such that  $\Omega_1 Y_1 \subseteq Y_1$ . It is as yet not known, even if  $X = \Gamma$  and  $Y = \Omega$ , except when  $\Omega$  is relatively sigma-compact [6]. Nevertheless, we prove it when both  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  are relatively sigma-compact. We conclude the paper by characterizing those  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  for which  $L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_\Omega$  and  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$ .

**2. Setting.** We begin the definitions and notations by prescribing  $\emptyset$  to be the empty set. If  $A$  and  $B$  are two sets, then  $B \setminus A$  is the complement of  $A \cap B$  in  $B$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a locally compact group with identity 1,  $m$  a left Haar measure on  $\Gamma$ , and  $L_\Gamma$  the Banach space of integrable functions on  $\Gamma$ , with the usual norm  $\| \cdot \|_1$ . For  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  and  $f \in L_\Gamma$  we have the left shift  $f_\sigma$  (also in  $L_\Gamma$ ), defined by  $f_\sigma(\tau) = f(\sigma\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \Gamma$ , and the right shift  $f^\sigma$  (in  $L_\Gamma$ ), defined by  $f^\sigma(\tau) = f(\tau\sigma)\Delta(\sigma)$ ,  $\tau \in \Gamma$ , where  $\Delta$  is the modular function for  $\Gamma$ . For  $f \in L_\Gamma$  we let  $f' \in L_\Gamma$  be defined by  $f'(\tau) = \Delta(\tau^{-1})f(\tau^{-1})$ . If  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ , we let  $L_\Omega$  denote the collection of functions in  $L_\Gamma$  which vanish almost everywhere in  $\Gamma \setminus \Omega$ .

Let  $X$  be a locally compact (Hausdorff) space. We say that  $\Gamma$  acts as a transformation group on  $X$  if  $\Gamma$  is a group of homeomorphisms on  $X$  such that the map  $\Gamma \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma x$ ,  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ ,  $x \in X$ , is jointly continuous. If  $m_X$  is a positive Radon measure on  $X$  with the property that if  $Y \subseteq X$  and  $m_X(Y) = 0$ , then  $m_X(\sigma Y) = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ , then we say that  $m_X$  is quasi-invariant. The functions integrable on  $X$  with respect to  $m_X$  describe the space  $L_X$ , under the usual  $L^1$  norm. For  $Y \subseteq X$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $L_X^p = \{f \in L^p(X) : f = 0 \text{ l.a.e. on } X \setminus Y\}$ . The characteristic function of  $Y \subseteq X$  is written  $\xi_Y$ . We abbreviate "almost everywhere" to "a.e.", and "locally almost everywhere" to "l.a.e." We use the notation  $Y \subseteq Z$  l.a.e. to mean that  $Z \setminus Y$  is locally null. Then  $Y = Z$  l.a.e. means that  $Y \subseteq Z$  l.a.e. and  $Z \subseteq Y$  l.a.e. For measure-theoretic notations we generally follow [5]. Let  $L_X^\infty$  denote the measurable, essentially bounded functions on  $X$ . We let  $I$  denote an indexing set (to serve the purpose). We denote by  $\mathbf{R}$  the additive group of real numbers with the usual topology.

Throughout the paper  $K$  will denote a Banach space,  $K^*$  the topological conjugate (dual) space under its usual dual norm. For every  $\mu \in M(\Gamma)$  and every bounded continuous map  $F: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  there exists by Proposition 8 of §1 of [1] a unique element  $\int F d\mu \in K$  such that

$$k * \left( \int F d\mu \right) = \int_X (k^* \circ F) d\mu, \quad k^* \in K^*.$$

Further, if  $K_1$  is another Banach space and  $T: K \rightarrow K_1$  is a continuous linear map, then  $\int (T \circ F) d\mu = T(\int F d\mu)$ .

If  $f \in L_\Gamma$ , there is a unique  $\mu \in M(\Gamma)$  with  $d\mu(\sigma) = f(\sigma) d\sigma$ ,  $d\sigma$  representing the element of the left invariant Haar measure. Instead of  $\int F d\mu$  we shall write  $\int f(\sigma) F(\sigma) d\sigma$ . Then  $\|\int f(\sigma) F(\sigma) d\sigma\| \leq \int_\Gamma |f(\sigma)| \cdot \|F(\sigma)\| d\sigma$ .

Let  $K$  be a Banach space. We call  $(K, *)$  a left  $L_\Gamma$ -module if  $K$  is a Banach space over the same scalar field as  $L_\Gamma$  and if  $*$  is a bilinear operation with the following properties:

- ( $\alpha$ )  $*$ :  $L_\Gamma \times K \rightarrow K$ ,
- ( $\beta$ )  $(f * g) * k = f * (g * k)$ ,  $f, g \in L_\Gamma$ ,  $k \in K$ ,
- ( $\gamma$ )  $\|f * k\| \leq \|f\|_1 \|k\|$ ,  $f, g \in L_\Gamma$ ,  $k \in K$ .

We should not confuse the module composition with convolution, even though they are given by the same symbol. The former acts on  $L_\Gamma \times K$ , and the latter on  $L_\Gamma \times L_\Gamma$ . A simple glance to the right and left of  $*$  should tell which space  $*$  acts on.

For any  $L_\Gamma$ -module  $K$  let us denote by  $K_{\text{abs}}$  the space  $\{f * k : f \in L_\Gamma, k \in K\}$ . Then  $K_{\text{abs}}$  is a closed submodule of  $K$  (Corollary 2.3 of [4]), and  $(K_{\text{abs}})_{\text{abs}} = K_{\text{abs}}$ . (This follows from the fact that  $L_\Gamma$  is factorable; see [2].) If  $K = K_{\text{abs}}$ , we say that  $K$  is *absolutely continuous*. It is easy to see that if  $K$  is absolutely continuous, then for an approximate identity  $(u_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $L_\Gamma$ , we have  $\lim_i (u_i * k) = k$  for each  $k \in K$ . As  $K_{\text{abs}}$  is closed, the converse is also true.

If  $K$  is absolutely continuous, then every element  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  defines a unique linear isometry  $k \rightarrow k_\sigma$  of  $K$  onto  $K$  such that

$$f * k = \int f(\tau) k_{\tau^{-1}} d\tau,$$

$$(f * k)_\sigma = f_\sigma * k, \quad \text{and} \quad f * k_\sigma = f^\sigma * k \quad (f \in L_\Gamma, k \in K, \sigma \in \Gamma).$$

$k_\sigma$  is called the shift of  $k$  by  $\sigma$ . It is jointly continuous and satisfies  $(k_\sigma)_\tau = k_{\sigma\tau}$ ,  $k_1 = k$ . We shall omit the proof of these facts. We only mention that  $k_\sigma$  may be defined by  $k_\sigma = \lim_i u_i^\sigma * k$  ( $k \in K$ ).

We defend the terminology "absolutely continuous module" by noting that if  $K = M(\Gamma)$ , then  $\mu \in M(\Gamma)$  is absolutely continuous in the conventional sense (with respect to left Haar measure) if and only if  $\mu \in M(\Gamma)_{\text{abs}}$ .

We describe other examples of  $L_\Gamma$ -modules, some of which we will find are absolutely continuous, and others not. To begin with, let  $\Gamma$  be a locally compact transformation group acting on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , as described above. We make  $C_\infty(X)$  and  $M(X)$  into  $L_\Gamma$ -modules by

$$f * k(x) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma) k(\sigma^{-1}x) d\sigma \quad (f \in L_\Gamma, k \in C_\infty(X), x \in X)$$

$$f * \mu(k) = \int_\Gamma (f' * k) d\mu \quad (f \in L_\Gamma, \mu \in M(X), k \in C_\infty(X)).$$

$C_\infty(X)$  is absolutely continuous ([4, 4.11]) and the shift is given by

$$k_\sigma(x) = k(\sigma x) \quad (\sigma \in \Gamma, x \in X, k \in C_\infty(X)).$$

In general,  $M(X)$  will not be absolutely continuous. The space  $M(X)_{\text{abs}}$  has been discussed in [7].

Let  $\Gamma$  act on  $X$  as above, and let  $m_X$  be a quasi-invariant measure on  $X$ . The realization of  $L_X$  as the space of all elements of  $M(X)$  that are absolutely continuous with respect to  $m_X$  makes  $L_X$  an absolutely continuous submodule of  $M(X)$  (Theorem 4.11 of [4]). In [4, §4] the authors have constructed a positive measurable function  $J$  on  $\Gamma \times X$  such that for  $f \in L_\Gamma$  and  $k \in L_X$ ,

$$f * k(x) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma) k(\sigma^{-1}x) J(\sigma^{-1}, x) d\sigma$$

for locally almost all  $x \in X$ . By means of this  $J$  we can make every  $L_X^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) into an  $L_\Gamma$ -module by defining

$$f * k(x) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma) k(\sigma^{-1}x) J(\sigma^{-1}, x)^{p-1} d\sigma \quad (\text{l.a.e. } x \in X)$$

for all  $f \in L_\Gamma$ ,  $k \in L_X^p$ . As was shown in [4, Theorem 4.11]  $L_X^p$  is absolutely continuous if  $p < \infty$ . Generally  $L_X^\infty$  is not. The canonical map  $C_\infty(X) \rightarrow L_X^\infty$  is a module homomorphism. In particular, if  $\text{supp } m_X = X$ ,  $C_\infty(X)$  is an absolutely continuous submodule of  $L_X^\infty$ .

One final note on concrete examples of  $L_\Gamma$  absolutely continuous modules. There exist examples for which the composition is not a generalized convolution. Take  $X$  to be an abelian locally compact group and let  $\Gamma$  be the character group of  $X$ . For  $f \in L_\Gamma$  and  $k \in L_X$ , put  $f * k = \hat{f}k$ .

Absolutely continuous  $L_\Gamma$ -modules have some inheritance properties. In the first place, we have already mentioned that closed submodules of absolutely continuous  $L_\Gamma$ -modules are themselves absolutely continuous. Next we come to sums and intersections of absolutely continuous  $L_\Gamma$ -modules, which we define forthwith. Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be  $L_\Gamma$ -modules and let  $H$  be a closed subspace of the product  $K_1 \times K_2$ . We require that  $(f * k_1, f * k_2) \in H$  for any  $f \in L_\Gamma$ ,  $(k_1, k_2) \in H$ . The vector spaces  $H$  and  $(K_1 \times K_2)/H$  are turned into Banach spaces  $K_1 \wedge_H K_2$  and  $K_1 \vee_H K_2$ , respectively, by the definitions

$$\|(k_1, k_2)\| = \max(\|k_1\|, \|k_2\|),$$

$$\|(k_1, k_2) + H\| = \inf \{\|k'_1\| + \|k'_2\| : k'_1 \in K_1, k'_2 \in K_2 \text{ and } (k'_1, k'_2) \equiv (k_1, k_2) + H\}.$$

( $K_1 \wedge_H K_2$  is called the intersection of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ ;  $K_1 \vee_H K_2$  is their sum.)

The proofs of these facts and a general investigation of these Banach spaces occur in [8]. Carrying on, we render  $K_1 \wedge_H K_2$  and  $K_1 \vee_H K_2$  as  $L_\Gamma$ -modules by the quite natural formulas

$$\begin{aligned} f * (k_1, k_2) &= (f * k_1, f * k_2), & f \in L_\Gamma, (k_1, k_2) \in H, \\ f * [(k_1, k_2) + H] &= (f * k_1, f * k_2) + H, & f \in L_\Gamma, k_1 \in K_1, k_2 \in K_2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are absolutely continuous, then so are  $K_1 \wedge_H K_2$  and  $K_1 \vee_H K_2$ . In fact, it is simple to compute that

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1 \wedge_H K_2)_{\text{abs}} &= H \cap \{(k_1, k_2) : k_1 \in (K_1)_{\text{abs}}, k_2 \in (K_2)_{\text{abs}}\}, \\ (K_1 \vee_H K_2)_{\text{abs}} &= \{(k_1, k_2) + H : k_1 \in (K_1)_{\text{abs}}, k_2 \in (K_2)_{\text{abs}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we wish to investigate the dual  $K^*$  of an  $L_\Gamma$ -module  $K$ , a natural composition is defined by

$$(f * k^*)k = k^*(f' * k), \quad f \in L_\Gamma, k \in K, k^* \in K^*,$$

and endowed with it,  $K^*$  is an  $L_\Gamma$ -module. We have already used this formula to define a module structure on  $M(X) = C_\infty(X)^*$ . In general,  $K^*$  will not be absolutely continuous, even if  $K$  is. For example, take  $K = L_X$  where  $X = \Gamma = \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $K$  is absolutely continuous, while  $K^* = L^\infty(X)$  is not. On the other hand, if we use the criterion for absolute continuity of  $K$  that  $K$  must be factorable, then an application of the Hahn-Banach theorem shows us that if  $K$  is not absolutely continuous, then under no circumstance can  $K^*$  be. In fact  $K$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $K^*$  is order-free. (An  $L_\Gamma$ -module  $K$  is said to be order-free if for each  $k \in K$ ,  $f * k = 0$  for all  $f \in L_\Gamma$  implies  $k = 0$ .) For a corollary we observe that all reflexive order-free modules are absolutely continuous and have absolutely continuous duals.

**3. A decomposition theorem and its consequences.** Before we can give the decomposition theorem in the form we desire, we must have a preliminary discussion. Assume that  $X$  is locally compact and Hausdorff and has a positive Radon measure  $m_X$ . For a measurable set  $Y$  we define the two operators  $i$  and  $d$  as follows:

$iY = \{x \in X : \text{there exists a measurable neighborhood}$

$V$  of  $x$  such that  $m_X(V \setminus Y) = 0\}$ ,

$dY = \{x \in X : \text{for every measurable neighborhood } V \text{ of } x, m_X(V \cap Y) > 0\}$ .

The operators  $i$  and  $d$  are not new; they have been discussed in [6]. Their more elementary properties are:

$Y^0 \subseteq iY = (iY)^0 \subseteq dY = (\text{Cl}(dY)) \subseteq \bar{Y}$ ,  $iY \subseteq Y$  l.a.e., and  $Y \subseteq dY$  l.a.e.

$\sigma(iY) = i(\sigma Y)$  and  $\sigma(dY) = d(\sigma Y)$  for every  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ .

$Y \subseteq Y'$  l.a.e. implies  $iY \subseteq iY'$  and  $dY \subseteq dY'$ .

Verbally,  $iY$  is an open set containing the interior of  $Y$ , while  $dY$  is a closed set contained in the closure of  $Y$ .

In particular, the operators  $d$  and  $i$  are defined in  $\Gamma$  itself. One of the basic properties of  $d$  is the following.

**3.1. LEMMA.** *Let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  be measurable,  $\gamma \in d\Omega$ . Then  $L_\Gamma$  contains an approximate identity  $(u_i)_{i \in I}$  such that  $\|u_i\|_1 = 1$  and  $(u_i)^{\gamma^{-1}} \in L_\Omega$  for every  $i$ .*

**Proof.** For  $I$  we take the net of all compact neighborhoods of  $1 \in \Gamma$ , made into a directed set by the definition  $\Phi_1 < \Phi_2$ , if  $\Phi_1 \supseteq \Phi_2$ . For  $\Phi \in I$  let

$$u_\Phi = [m(\Phi \cap \Omega\gamma^{-1})]^{-1} \xi_{\Phi \cap \Omega\gamma^{-1}}.$$

(Note that  $m(\Phi \cap \Omega\gamma^{-1}) \neq 0$  because  $\gamma \in d\Omega$ .) Then  $(u_\Phi)^{\gamma^{-1}} \in L_\Omega$  and  $\|u_\Phi\|_1 = 1$ . Take  $f \in L_\Gamma$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The set  $\Phi_1 = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \|f_\sigma^{-1} - f\| < \varepsilon\}$  is a neighborhood of  $1 \in \Gamma$ . It is now easy to see that  $\|(u_\Phi * f) - f\|_1 < \varepsilon$  for all  $\Phi \in I$  such that  $\Phi \subseteq \Phi_1$ . In fact, for such  $\Phi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_\Phi * f) - f\|_1 &= \left\| \int_\Gamma u_\Phi(\sigma) f_\sigma^{-1} d\sigma - \int_\Gamma u_\Phi(\sigma) f d\sigma \right\| \\ &\leq \int_\Gamma u_\Phi(\sigma) \|f_\sigma^{-1} - f\| d\sigma < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $B$  be a closed linear subspace of an absolutely continuous  $L_\Gamma$ -module  $K$ . For  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  we denote  $\{k_\sigma : k \in B\}$  by  $B_\sigma$ . For  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  measurable we indicate by  $L_\Omega * B$  the closed linear subspace of  $K$  generated by  $\{f * k : f \in L_\Omega, k \in B\}$ . If  $\Gamma = X$  and  $B \subseteq L_X$  let  $B' = \{f' \in L_X : f \in B\}$ . In particular, if  $Y \subseteq X = \Gamma$ , then  $L_{Y^{-1}} * L_{\Omega^{-1}} = (L_Y)' * (L_\Omega)' = (L_\Omega * L_Y)'$  by direct computation.

Now we are ready for the decomposition theorem.

**3.2. MODULE DECOMPOSITION THEOREM.** *Let  $K$  be an absolutely continuous module over  $L_\Gamma$ . For any measurable  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and any closed subspace  $B$  of  $K$  we have*

$$L_\Omega * B = \text{Cl} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_\sigma^{-1} \right).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma \in d\Omega$  and  $k \in B$ . By Lemma 3.1 there is an approximate identity  $(u_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $L_\Gamma$  such that  $(u_i)^{\sigma^{-1}} \in L_\Omega$  for every  $i$ . Then  $k_{\sigma^{-1}} = \lim_i ((u_i)^{\sigma^{-1}} * k) \in L_\Omega * B$ . Thus  $\text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}}) \subseteq L_\Omega * B$ . Conversely, let  $f \in L_\Omega$ ,  $k \in B$ . For locally almost all  $\tau \in \Omega$ , we have  $\tau \in d\Omega$ , so that for these  $\tau$ ,  $k_{\tau^{-1}} \in \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}})$ . In other words,  $k \in B$  implies  $k_{\tau^{-1}} \in \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}})$  for locally almost every  $\tau \in \Omega$ . Take any  $k^* \in K^*$  such that  $k^* = 0$  on  $\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}}$ . Then  $k^*(f * k) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma) k^*(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) d\sigma = 0$  for all  $f \in L_\Omega$ ,  $k \in B$ , with the result that  $k^* = 0$  on  $L_\Omega * B$ . Thus  $L_\Omega * B \subseteq \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}})$ .

We mention that without the hypothesis of absolute continuity on  $K$  the conclusion may be invalid. Take, for example,  $\Gamma = X = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $B = K = L_X^\infty$ . Then for each  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ ,  $B_{\sigma^{-1}} = L_X^\infty$ , while  $L_\Omega * B$  is the collection of uniformly continuous functions on  $X$ .

Several consequences follow directly.

3.3. COROLLARY.  $L_\Omega * B = L_{d\Omega} * B$ .

Corollaries 3.4 and 3.5 concern the case where  $\Gamma$  is a transformation group acting on  $X$  and  $X$  is endowed with a quasi-invariant measure. For  $Y \subseteq X$  measurable and  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  we have  $(L_Y)_\sigma^{-1} = L_{\sigma Y}$ . Thus

3.4. COROLLARY. If  $Y \subseteq X$  is measurable, then  $L_\Omega * L_Y = \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma Y})$ .

3.5. COROLLARY. Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be measurable subsets of  $X$  and let  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Z$ . Then

- (i) For every  $\sigma \in d\Omega$ ,  $\sigma Y \subseteq Z$  l.a.e.
- (ii)  $d\Omega dY \subseteq dZ$ , so that if  $L_\Omega$  is a subalgebra of  $L_\Gamma$ , then  $d\Omega$  is a subsemigroup of  $\Gamma$ .
- (iii)  $d\Omega iY \subseteq iZ$ , so that if  $L_\Omega$  is a subalgebra of  $L_\Gamma$ , then  $i\Omega$  is a subsemigroup of  $\Gamma$ . If, in addition,  $X = \Gamma$ , then also
- (iv) For every  $\sigma \in dY$ ,  $\Omega\sigma \subseteq Z$  l.a.e.
- (v)  $i\Omega dY \subseteq iZ$ .

**Proof.** By the preceding corollary, if  $\sigma \in d\Omega$ , then  $L_{\sigma Y} \subseteq L_Z$ , so that  $\sigma Y \subseteq Z$  l.a.e., proving (i). Then  $\sigma(dY) = d(\sigma Y) \subseteq dZ$ , and  $\sigma(iY) = i(\sigma Y) \subseteq iZ$ , thus proving both (ii) and (iii). Parts (iv) and (v) follow from (i) and (ii) via the formulas

$$L_Y^{-1} * L_\Omega^{-1} = (L_Y)' * (L_\Omega)' = (L_\Omega * L_Y)' \subseteq (L_Z)' = L_Z^{-1}.$$

For later use we file away yet another consequence.

3.6. COROLLARY. If  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  is measurable and not locally null, then  $L_\Gamma * L_\Omega = L_\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\Omega$  is not locally null,  $d\Omega \neq \emptyset$ , so let  $\tau \in d\Omega$ . Then

$$(L_\Gamma * L_\Omega)' = (L_\Omega)' * (L_\Gamma)' = L_\Omega^{-1} * L_\Gamma = \text{Cl}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}\Gamma}\right) \supseteq L_{\tau^{-1}\Gamma} = L_\Gamma.$$

The space  $B$  employed in the last two corollaries has been contained in  $L_X$ . For a moment let us switch our attention to  $C_Y$ , the collection of all functions  $k \in C_\infty(X)$  which vanish outside  $Y$ . Then we have

3.7. COROLLARY.  $L_\Omega * C_Y = \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} C_{\sigma Y}) = L_{d\Omega} * C_Y$ .

3.8. COROLLARY. *If  $Y$  is open in  $X$ , then  $L_\Omega * C_Y = C_{(d\Omega)Y}$ .*

**Proof.** Since evidently  $\text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} C_{\sigma Y}) \subseteq C_{(d\Omega)Y}$ , we need only prove the opposite inclusion. To this end, let  $f \in C_{(d\Omega)Y}$  with compact support. Since  $Y$  is open and  $\text{supp } f$  is compact, there exist  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \in d\Omega$  such that  $\text{supp } f \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \tau_i Y$ . By using a partition of unity one can construct  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(X)$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i = f$ , such that each  $f_i$  has compact support contained in  $\tau_i Y$ . Then  $f \in \sum_{i=1}^n C_{\tau_i Y} \subseteq \sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} C_{\sigma Y}$ .

Because of Corollary 3.8, we quite involuntarily might conjecture that at least when  $Y$  is open in  $X$ , then  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_{(d\Omega)Y}$ . In fact this is true, but the proof is by no means trivial. First we show that  $L_\Omega * L_Y$  is an  $L_Z$  for an appropriate  $Z$ . For completeness we prove the following theorem.

3.9. THEOREM. *Let  $m_X$  be a positive Radon measure on a locally compact space  $X$ . Let  $B$  be a closed linear subspace of  $L_X$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *There is a measurable set  $Z \subseteq X$  such that  $B = L_Z$ .*
- (b) *For all  $k \in B$  and  $j \in L_X^\infty$ ,  $kj \in B$  (i.e.,  $B$  is a module over  $L_\infty(X)$  under pointwise multiplication).*

**Proof.** The implication (a) to (b) is evident. Now assume (b). By Theorem 11.39 of [5] there exists a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of disjoint compact subsets of  $X$  such that for every  $U$  which is open in  $X$  and has finite measure,  $\{F \in \mathcal{F} : m_X(U \cap F) > 0\}$  is countable, and such that  $X \setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{F})$  is locally null. It follows that a set  $Y \subseteq X$  is measurable if and only if  $Y \cap F$  is measurable for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  let  $\mathcal{X}_F = \{Y \subseteq F : Y \text{ is measurable and } \xi_Y \in B\}$ . Consequently,

( $\alpha$ ) If  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{X}_F$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty Y_n \in \mathcal{X}_F$ .

( $\beta$ ) If a measurable set  $Y$  is contained in an element of  $\mathcal{X}_F$ , then  $Y \in \mathcal{X}_F$ .

By ( $\alpha$ ) for every  $F$  there exists a  $Z_F \in \mathcal{X}_F$  such that  $m_X(Z_F) = \sup \{m_X(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{X}_F\}$ . Then  $Z = \bigcup \{Z_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is measurable by the comments above, and is the subset of  $X$  we desire. Now we show that  $B = L_Z$ . Inasmuch as both  $B$  and  $L_Z$  are closed modules over  $L_X^\infty$  under pointwise multiplication, it suffices to show that  $\{Y \subseteq X : \xi_Y \in B\} = \{Y \subseteq X : \xi_Y \in L_Z\}$ . To show it, first let  $\xi_Y \in L_Z$  and assume that  $Y \subseteq Z$  everywhere. Then for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $Y \cap F \subseteq Z \cap F = Z_F$ , so that by ( $\beta$ ),  $Y \cap F \in \mathcal{X}_F$ . Thus  $\xi_{Y \cap F} \in B$ . Since  $Y$  is of finite measure, there can exist only countably many  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $m_X(Y \cap F) > 0$ . Hence  $\xi_Y = \sum \{\xi_{Y \cap F} : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \in B$ . On the other hand, let  $\xi_Y \in B$ . For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\xi_{Y \cap F} = \xi_Y \xi_F \in B$ , so that  $Y \cap F \in \mathcal{X}_F$ . Then  $(Y \cap F) \cup Z_F \in \mathcal{X}_F$  by ( $\alpha$ ). It follows that  $m_X((Y \cap F) \cup Z_F) \leq m_X(Z_F)$ . Hence,  $Y \cap F \subseteq Z_F$  a.e., which means that  $Y \subseteq Z$  l.a.e., and  $\xi_Y \in L_Z$ .

In 3.10–3.13,  $\Gamma$  is again a group of homeomorphisms of a space  $X$  on which we have a quasi-invariant measure  $m_X$ .

3.10. THEOREM. *For any measurable  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  there exists a measurable set  $Z \subseteq X$  such that  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Z$ .*



**Proof.** Since each  $L_{\sigma Y}$  is a module over  $L_X^\infty$ , for  $\sigma \in d\Omega$ , this means that  $\text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma Y}) = L_\Omega * L_Y$  is also an  $L_X^\infty$  module.

We now arrive at the proposition promised following Corollary 3.8.

3.11. COROLLARY. *If  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  is measurable and  $Y \subseteq X$  is open, then  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_{(d\Omega)Y}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $Z$  be as in the preceding theorem. Since  $Y$  is open,  $Y \subset iY$ . By Corollary 3.5(iii),  $d\Omega Y \subset d\Omega iY \subset iZ$ . Since always  $iZ \subseteq Z$  l.a.e., we have  $(d\Omega)Y \subseteq Z$  l.a.e. On the other hand,  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_{(d\Omega)Y}$ , so that  $Z \subseteq (d\Omega)Y$  l.a.e. Consequently,  $Z = (d\Omega)Y$  l.a.e., which is what we needed to prove.

With an added hypothesis we can go a step further.

3.12. THEOREM. *Let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  be measurable and  $Y \subseteq X$  open, and assume that  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ . Then there exist an  $\Omega' \subseteq \Gamma$  and an open  $Y' \subseteq X$  such that  $\Omega' = \Omega$  l.a.e. and  $Y' = Y$  l.a.e., and  $\Omega' Y' \subseteq Y'$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\Omega' = \Omega \cap d\Omega$  and  $Y' = iY$ . Then  $\Omega' = \Omega$  l.a.e. and  $Y' = Y$  l.a.e. By the preceding corollary,  $L_{(d\Omega)Y'} = L_\Omega * L_{Y'} \subseteq L_{Y'}$ , so that  $(d\Omega')Y' \subseteq Y'$  l.a.e. Note that since  $Y'$  is open,  $(d\Omega')Y'$  is also open. Then  $\Omega' Y' \subseteq (d\Omega')Y' \subseteq i\{(d\Omega')Y'\} \subseteq iY' = i(iY) = iY = Y'$ .

There is a companion to this corollary—for  $Y$  closed in  $X$ —which we presently demonstrate.

3.13. THEOREM. *Let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  be measurable and  $Y \subseteq X$  closed, and assume that  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ . Then there exist a set  $\Omega' \subseteq \Gamma$  and a closed set  $Y' \subseteq X$  such that  $\Omega' = \Omega$  l.a.e. and  $Y' = Y$  l.a.e., and  $\Omega' Y' \subseteq Y'$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\Omega' = \Omega \cap d\Omega$  and  $Y' = dY$ . By assumption, the  $Z$  of Theorem 3.10 has the property that  $Z \subseteq Y$  l.a.e. Thus  $dZ \subseteq dY$ , whereupon  $\Omega' Y' \subseteq d\Omega dY \subseteq dZ \subseteq dY = Y'$ , by an application of Corollary 3.5(ii).

Theorem 3.10 says that if we are given measurable sets  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then the collection of all  $k \in L_X$  such that  $k \in L_\Omega * L_Y$  can be represented as  $L_Z$  for an appropriately chosen  $Z \subseteq X$ ; sometimes—at least when  $Y$  is open—we can describe  $Z$  in a simple form merely in terms of  $\Omega$  and  $Y$ . Now let us turn the question around. Suppose we are given once again measurable sets  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$ , but this time we are interested in the collection of all  $k \in L_X$  such that  $L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y$ . We will show that this collection forms an  $L_Z$  and we will describe  $Z$  in terms of  $\Omega$  and  $Y$ .

First we have a preliminary proposition, a kind of counterpart to the Decomposition Theorem.

3.14. THEOREM. *Let  $K$  be an absolutely continuous module over  $L_\Gamma$ . Also let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  be measurable and let  $B$  be a closed linear subspace of  $K$ . Then*

$$\{k \in K : L_\Omega * k \subseteq B\} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_\sigma.$$

**Proof.** Let  $L_\Omega * k \subseteq B$  and  $\sigma \in d\Omega$ . Then, by Lemma 3.1, there is an approximate identity  $(u_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $L_\Gamma$  with  $(u_i)^{\sigma^{-1}} \in L_\Omega$  for every  $i \in I$ . This means that  $k_{\sigma^{-1}} = \lim_i (u_i^{\sigma^{-1}} * k) \in B$ , so that  $k \in B_\sigma$ , which therefore holds for all  $\sigma \in d\Omega$ . On the other hand, let  $k \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_\sigma$  and let  $k^* \in K^*$  with the property that  $k^* = 0$  on  $B$ . Then  $k^*(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) = 0$  for locally almost all  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . Thus, for any  $f \in L_\Omega$ ,  $k^*(f * k) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma) k^*(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) d\sigma = 0$ . Since this is true for all  $k^* \in K^*$  which vanish on  $B$ , we obtain  $f * k \in B$ . Consequently,  $L_\Omega * k \subseteq B$ .

We turn to more concrete examples.

3.15. THEOREM. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  be measurable,  $A = \{x \in X : \sigma x \in Y \text{ for locally almost all } \sigma \in \Omega\}$ . Then  $A$  is measurable and  $L_A^p = \{k \in L_X^p : L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y^p\}$  for every  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

**Proof.** First let  $p=1$ . Inasmuch as  $\bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} (L_Y)_\sigma = \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}$  is a module over  $L_X^\infty$  under pointwise multiplication, the space  $\{k \in L_X : L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y\}$  is of the form  $L_Z$ , by Theorem 3.9. All we need to prove, then, is that if  $D$  is compact in  $X$ , then  $D \subseteq Z$  a.e. if and only if  $D \subseteq A$  a.e. Therefore let  $D \subseteq A$  a.e. This means that  $\{\sigma \in \Omega : \sigma x \in X \setminus Y\}$  is locally null for almost all  $x \in D$ , so that for any compact subset  $\Phi$  of  $\Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_X \xi_D(x) \int_\Gamma \xi_\Phi(\sigma) \xi_{X \setminus Y}(\sigma x) d\sigma dx \\ &= \int_\Gamma \xi_\Phi(\sigma) \int_X \xi_D(x) \xi_{X \setminus Y}(\sigma x) dx d\sigma \\ &= \int_\Gamma \xi_\Phi(\sigma) m(D \cap \sigma^{-1}(X \setminus Y)) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $m_X(D \cap \sigma^{-1}(X \setminus Y)) = 0$  for locally almost all  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . By the quasi-invariance of  $m_X$ ,  $0 = m_X(\sigma D \cap (X \setminus Y)) = \xi_{X \setminus Y}(\xi_{\sigma D}) = \xi_{X \setminus Y}[(\xi_D)_{\sigma^{-1}}]$  for locally almost all  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . Now  $(\xi_D)_{\sigma^{-1}}$  depends continuously on  $\sigma$ , so that  $\xi_{X \setminus Y}[(\xi_D)_{\sigma^{-1}}] = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in d\Omega$ . In other words  $\sigma \in d\Omega$  implies that  $\sigma D \subseteq Y$  l.a.e., so that  $\xi_D \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}$  and  $D \subseteq Z$  a.e. Since the procedure is reversible,  $D \subseteq Z$  a.e. implies that  $D \subseteq A$  a.e., and the case  $p=1$  is completed.

Next, let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . By Theorem 3.14,  $\{k \in L_X^p : L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y^p\} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}^p$ , which in turn is  $\{k \in L_X^p : |k|^p \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}\}$ . However,  $\bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y} = L_A$  by the first part of the proof, so the collection is none other than  $L_A^p$ . For  $p=\infty$ , we obtain  $\{k \in L^\infty(X) : L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y^\infty\} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}^\infty = \{k \in L^\infty(X) : k\xi_D \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}^p \text{ for every compact } D \subseteq X\} = \{k \in L^\infty(X) : k\xi_D \in L_A \text{ for every compact } D \subseteq X\} = L_A^\infty$ .

**4. The orbit topology and its consequences.** From now on  $\Gamma$  is a group of homeomorphisms of  $X$  on which there is a quasi-invariant measure  $m_X$ . We borrow the next two theorems from [4]. After that we shall assume *throughout the rest of the paper* that  $\Gamma$  and  $X$  satisfy any and hence all the conditions of Theorem 4.1.

4.1. THEOREM. The following three conditions are equivalent:

(a) If  $D \subseteq X$  is compact and  $m_X(D) = 0$ , and if  $a \in X$ , then  $\sigma a \notin D$  for locally almost every  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ .

(b) If  $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma$  is a Borel set with positive measure, then for all  $a \in X$ ,  $\Phi a$  has positive outer measure.

(c) Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an open  $\sigma$ -compact subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then for every  $a \in X$ ,  $\Gamma_0 a$  is measurable and  $m_X(\Gamma_0 a) > 0$ .

(We note that such  $\Gamma_0$  exists in every case: every compact neighborhood of 1 generates an open  $\sigma$ -compact group.) The proof of this theorem is contained in 5.6 and 5.7 of [4].

The conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied if  $X$  is a factor space of  $\Gamma$  and the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X$  is the natural one [4, remark made immediately before 5.8].

4.2. THEOREM. Let  $a \in X$ . For  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  let  $\pi_a(\sigma) = \sigma a$ . Then  $\pi_a$  is a continuous open map of  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma a$ . Further,  $\Gamma a$  is the intersection of a closed and open set in  $X$ , and therefore is measurable (see 5.10 of [4]).

Next we let  $\mathcal{L}^1(X)$  be the collection of functions on  $X$  which are integrable ( $L_X$  still denotes the space of all classes of integrable functions that are a.e. equal), and similarly for  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$  and  $k \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$  we put

$$(f \circ k)(x) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\sigma) k(\sigma^{-1}x) d\sigma$$

for all  $x \in X$  for which the integral exists. Manifestly, if  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$  and  $f_1 = f_2$  a.e., then  $f_1 \circ k = f_2 \circ k$ .

4.3. THEOREM. The following condition is equivalent to each of those stated in Theorem 4.1:

If  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$  and if  $k_1 = k_2$  l.a.e., then  $f \circ k_1$  and  $f \circ k_2$  are defined everywhere on  $X$ , and  $f \circ k_1 = f \circ k_2$ .

**Proof.** First we show that the above condition implies (a) of Theorem 4.1. Let  $D$  be compact in  $X$  with  $m_X(D) = 0$ , and let  $a \in X$ . By our assumption,

$$0 = (f \circ \xi_D)(a) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\sigma) \xi_D(\sigma^{-1}a) d\sigma \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma).$$

But this just says that  $\sigma^{-1}a \notin D$  for locally almost all  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ , which is (a). Now we utilize (b) of Theorem 4.1 to prove the above condition. We need only take  $k \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$  such that  $k = 0$  l.a.e., and show that for each  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$  and  $a \in X$ ,  $(f \circ k)(a) = 0$ . By the contrapositive of (b),  $k(\sigma^{-1}a) = 0$  l.a.e. in  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $(f \circ k)(a) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\sigma) k(\sigma^{-1}a) d\sigma = 0$ .

The importance of Theorem 4.3 is that we may consider  $f \circ k$  as defined for  $f \in L_{\Gamma}$ ,  $k \in L_X^{\infty}$ , rather than for  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$ ,  $k \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$ —providing the pair  $\Gamma$  and  $X$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.1. We define  $L_{\Gamma} \circ L_X^{\infty}$  as  $\{f \circ k : f \in L_{\Gamma}, k \in L_X^{\infty}\}$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a measurable subset of  $\Gamma$  and  $Y$  a measurable subset of  $X$ . By Theorem 3.9 we know there exists a measurable subset  $Z$  of  $X$  such that  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y = L_Z$ . In order to give an explicit form to a  $Z$  for which  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y = L_Z$ , we make use of two

entities, one a topology on  $X$  which is customarily different from the given topology, and the second a set  $T$  in  $X$  which is directly related to the convolution and which we will show is locally the same as  $Z$ . Hereafter we designate the original topology on  $X$  by  $\mathcal{T}$ . We begin by discussing the new topology on  $X$ , which we denote by  $\mathcal{O}$ . It is described in terms of the orbits  $\Gamma x$  of the elements  $x$  in  $X$ .

**4.4. DEFINITION.** A basis for the topology  $\mathcal{O}$  consists of sets of the form  $\{\Phi x : \Phi \text{ open in } \Gamma, x \in X\}$ . We call this topology the *orbit topology (on  $X$ )*.

The fact that the collection just described forms a basis for a bona fide topology on  $X$  is immediate.  $\mathcal{O}$  is a natural analog of  $\mathcal{T}$ , in the sense that  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by the sets of the form  $\{\sigma U : \sigma \in \Gamma, U \text{ open in } X\}$ . However, the two topologies need not be identical. For an example, let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the additive group of reals with the usual topology, and let  $\Gamma = \mathbf{R}$  and  $X = \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  the one-point compactification of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\delta_\infty$  be the point mass at  $\infty$  and let  $m_x$  be defined by

$$m_x(Y) = m(\mathbf{R} \cap Y) + \delta_\infty(Y), \text{ for any Borel set } Y \text{ in } X.$$

Define the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X$  by

$$(\sigma, x) \rightarrow x + \sigma, x \in \mathbf{R}, \sigma \in \Gamma,$$

$$(\sigma, \infty) \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \in \Gamma.$$

This system satisfies the conditions mentioned in Theorem 4.1. The set  $\{\infty\}$  is not open in  $\mathcal{T}$ , while  $\{\infty\} = \Gamma\{\infty\}$  is both closed and open in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Let us nail down a few of the properties of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**4.5. LEMMA.** (i)  $\mathcal{O}$  is at least as fine as  $\mathcal{T}$ .

(ii) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\Gamma x$ .

**Proof.** (i) If  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $a$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , then there is an open set  $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $\Phi a \subseteq U$ , since the map  $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma x$  is jointly continuous. But  $\Phi a$  is a  $\mathcal{O}$ -neighborhood of  $a$ . To prove (ii) let  $\Phi$  be open in  $\Gamma$ , and  $a \in X$ . By Theorem 4.2, the map  $\pi_a: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma a$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -open, so we are done.

Lemma 4.5 yields two characterizations of  $\mathcal{O}$ . We assume henceforth that  $\Gamma_0$  is an open sigma-compact subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

(i)  $U \subseteq X$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open if and only if for each  $x \in X$ , the set  $\{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma x \in U\}$  is open in  $\Gamma$ .

(ii)  $U \subseteq X$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open if and only if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $U \cap \Gamma_0 x$  is relatively open in  $\Gamma_0 x$ .

We push on with the characteristics of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**4.6. LEMMA.** (i) Let  $U \subseteq X$  be  $\mathcal{T}$ -open and  $a \in X$ . Then either  $U \cap \Gamma_0 a = \emptyset$  or  $m_x(U \cap \Gamma_0 a) > 0$ .

(ii) Every set with finite outer measure in  $X$  intersects only countably many  $\Gamma_0$ -orbits.

(iii) Every  $\mathcal{T}$ -compact set is a union of countably many  $\mathcal{O}$ -compact sets.

**Proof.** (i) Assume that  $U \cap \Gamma_0 a \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\Gamma_0$  is sigma-compact and  $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma x$  is continuous,  $\Gamma_0 a$  is sigma-compact; thus  $U \cap \Gamma_0 a$  is measurable. There is an

open set  $\Phi \in \Gamma_0$  such that  $\Phi a \subseteq U$ . Thus  $\Phi a \subseteq (U \cap \Gamma_0 a)$ . Using Theorem 4.1(c), we have  $0 < m_x(\Phi a) \leq m_x(U \cap \Gamma_0 a)$ .

To prove (ii), let  $Y \subseteq X$  be of finite outer measure. Since  $X$  is locally compact there is an open set  $U$  such that  $U \supseteq Y$  and  $m_x(U) < \infty$ . By (i),  $m_x(U \cap \Gamma_0 x) > 0$  for every  $x \in X$  such that  $U \cap \Gamma_0 x \neq \emptyset$ . Then there can be only countably many orbits  $\Gamma_0 x$  with  $U \cap \Gamma_0 x \neq \emptyset$ , and (ii) is proved.

For (iii), let  $D \subseteq X$  be  $\mathcal{T}$ -compact. By (ii) there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (D \cap \Gamma_0 x_n)$ . But  $\Gamma_0$  is by definition sigma-compact in  $\Gamma$ , whence  $\Gamma_0 x_n$  is sigma-compact in  $X$ , so that  $D \cap \Gamma_0 x_n$  is sigma-compact in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Inasmuch as the original and  $\mathcal{O}$ -topology coincide on each  $\Gamma x_n$ , (iii) is also proved.

By Lemma 4.6(iii),  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  have the same sigma-compact sets so the notion of l.a.e. is the same with respect to both topologies.

In the next two propositions we show that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a legitimate topology to work with, with respect to  $\Gamma$  and  $m_x$ .

4.7. **LEMMA.** *Every  $\mathcal{O}$ -open set  $U$  is  $m_x$ -measurable, and if  $U \neq \emptyset$ , then  $m_x(U) > 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $U$  be  $\mathcal{O}$ -open in  $X$ . By 11.31 of [5] we only need to show that  $U \cap V$  is measurable for every  $V \subseteq X$  that is open in the  $\mathcal{T}$ -topology and has finite measure. Since  $V$  is automatically  $\mathcal{O}$ -open, we may assume that  $U = U \cap V$ . At least we know that  $U$  has finite outer measure. Now we show it is measurable. By Lemma 4.6(ii), there is a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  such that  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (U \cap \Gamma_0 x_n)$ . Since  $U$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open and  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  coincide on  $\Gamma_0 x$ , for each  $n$  there is an  $\mathcal{T}$ -open  $W_n \subseteq X$  such that  $U \cap \Gamma_0 x_n = W_n \cap \Gamma_0 x_n$ . Then  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (W_n \cap \Gamma_0 x_n)$ , which is measurable. To show that if  $U \neq \emptyset$  then  $m_x(U) > 0$ , we let  $x \in U$  and find a  $\mathcal{T}$ -open  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in V \cap \Gamma_0 x = U \cap \Gamma_0 x$ . By (i) of Lemma 4.6,  $m_x(U) \geq m_x(V \cap \Gamma_0 x) > 0$ .

We remark that Lemma 4.7 says that if  $\Phi$  is open in  $\Gamma$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $m_x(\Phi x) > 0$ .

4.8. **THEOREM.**  *$(X, \mathcal{O})$  is locally compact, and  $\Gamma$  acts as a transformation group on  $(X, \mathcal{O})$ . Furthermore,  $m_x$  is a quasi-invariant Radon measure on  $(X, \mathcal{O})$ .*

**Proof.** Since each  $\Gamma x$  is, in the  $\mathcal{T}$ -topology, the intersection of an open and a closed subset of  $X$  by Theorem 4.2, it is locally compact. But  $\Gamma x$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open, so  $\mathcal{O}$  is a locally compact topology for  $X$ . To show that  $\Gamma$  acts as a transformation group on  $(X, \mathcal{O})$ , we note that  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  implies  $\sigma$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -homeomorphism. Now we show that  $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma x$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -jointly continuous. Let  $(\sigma, a)$  be fixed in  $\Gamma \times X$  and  $U$  an  $\mathcal{O}$ -neighborhood of  $\sigma a$ . Straight from the definition of  $\mathcal{O}$ , there is a neighborhood  $\Phi$  of 1 in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\sigma(\Phi\Phi)a \subseteq U$ . Then  $\sigma\Phi$ ,  $\Phi a$  are neighborhoods of  $\sigma$ ,  $a$  respectively, and  $(\sigma\Phi)(\Phi a) \subseteq U$ .

Finally we prove that  $m_x$  is a Radon measure on  $(X, \mathcal{O})$ . We denote by  $m^*$  and  $m_*$  the outer and inner measure respectively of  $m_x$ . Let  $Y$  be any subset of  $X$ . Since every  $\mathcal{T}$ -open set is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open,

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(Y) &= \inf \{m_x(Z) : Y \subseteq Z, Z \text{ } \mathcal{T}\text{-open}\} \\ &\geq \inf \{m^*(Z) : Y \subseteq Z, Z \text{ } \mathcal{O}\text{-open}\} \geq m^*(Y). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.7, if  $Z$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open, then it is measurable, so we may write  $m_X(Z)$  instead of  $m^*(Z)$ . Thus  $m^*(Y) = \inf \{m(Z) : Y \subseteq Z, Z \text{ } \mathcal{O}\text{-open}\}$ . On the other hand, every  $\mathcal{O}$ -compact set in  $X$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -compact, while every  $\mathcal{T}$ -compact set is the union of an increasing sequence of  $\mathcal{O}$ -compact sets. Therefore for any  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $m_*(Y) = \sup \{m(D) : D \subseteq X \text{ and } D \text{ } \mathcal{O}\text{-compact}\}$ . The formulas for  $m^*$  and  $m_*$  yield  $m_X$ —the same as  $m_X$  with respect to the original topology—a Radon measure with respect to  $\mathcal{O}$ . Thus  $m_X$  is quasi-invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{O}$ .

4.9. LEMMA. (i)  $\mathcal{O}$  is the weakest topology on  $X$  for which  $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$ .

(ii)  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{T}$  if and only if for each compact  $\Psi \subseteq \Gamma$  and each relatively  $\mathcal{T}$ -compact measurable  $D \subseteq X$ , the map  $x \rightarrow m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}x \in D\}$  is continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $a \in X$  and let  $f \in L_\Gamma$ ,  $k \in L_X^\infty$ . Then for each  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$(f \circ k)(\sigma a) = \int_\Gamma f(\tau) k(\tau^{-1}\sigma a) d\tau = (f_\sigma \circ k)(a).$$

But the shift in  $L_\Gamma$  is continuous, and  $\pi_a: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma a$  is an open map, so on the orbit  $\Gamma a$ ,  $f \circ k$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -continuous. Since  $a$  is arbitrary and  $\Gamma a$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open,  $f \circ k$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -continuous and for the topology  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $X$ ,  $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$ . Conversely, let  $U$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -neighborhood of  $a \in X$ . We shall find  $f \in L_\Gamma$  and  $k \in L_X^\infty$  such that  $f \circ k(a) \neq 0$  and  $f \circ k = 0$  on  $X \setminus U$ ; this proves that  $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$  can not hold for any topology that is strictly weaker than  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  acts as a transformation group on  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  there is a neighborhood  $\Phi$  of  $1 \in \Gamma$  such that  $m(\Phi) < \infty$  and  $\Phi a \subseteq U$ . Then there is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -open, hence measurable, set  $Z \subseteq X$  such that  $a \in Z$  and  $\Phi Z \subseteq U$ . Then  $\xi_\Phi \in L_\Gamma$  and  $\xi_Z \in L_X^\infty$ . Clearly  $\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_Z = 0$  on  $X \setminus U$ , and  $\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_Z(a) = m\{\sigma \in \Phi : \sigma^{-1}a \in Z\} > 0$ ,  $\{\sigma \in \Phi : \sigma^{-1}a \in Z\}$  being an open neighborhood of  $1$  in  $\Gamma$ .

Now we prove (ii). We note that if  $\Psi \subseteq \Gamma$  is compact and  $D \subseteq X$  is relatively compact, then  $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_D(x) = m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}x \in D\}$ , so that the assumption  $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$  implies the condition. To prove the converse it suffices to consider any compact set  $\Psi \subseteq \Gamma$  and any measurable set  $Y \subseteq X$  and show that  $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y$  is continuous at any given  $a \in X$ . To that end, we note that  $\Psi^{-1}a$  is compact in  $X$ , so that there is a  $D$ , compact in  $X$ , whose interior contains  $\Psi^{-1}a$ . Let  $W$  be a neighborhood of  $a$  such that  $\Psi^{-1}W \subseteq D$ , and let  $Q = D \cap Y$ . If  $y \in W$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Q(y) &= m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}y \in Q\} = m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}y \in Q \cap \Psi^{-1}W\} \\ &= m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}y \in Y \cap \Psi^{-1}W\} = m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}y \in Y\} = (\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, on  $W$ ,  $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y = \xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Q$ . Since  $Q$  is relatively compact and  $\Psi$  is compact, the hypothesis that  $x \rightarrow \{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}x \in Q\}$  be continuous merely says that  $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Q$  is continuous on  $X$ , and hence on  $W$ . Therefore,  $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y$  is continuous on  $W$ , and hence at  $a$ . Since  $a$  was arbitrary,  $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y$  is continuous, as desired.

In the proofs of the theorems which we are leading up to, we employ the  $\mathcal{O}$ -continuity of functions  $f \circ k$ . What will especially interest us are the sets where various  $f \circ k$  are nonzero, which we know to be  $\mathcal{O}$ -open via Lemma 4.9(i). Let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  be measurable.

4.10. DEFINITION. Let  $T = \{x \in X: \text{there exist } \Phi \subseteq \Gamma, D \subseteq X \text{ each with finite measure, such that } \Phi \subseteq \Omega \text{ l.a.e. and } D \subseteq Y \text{ l.a.e., and such that } (\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_D)(x) > 0\}$ .

We begin discussing  $T$  by proving a lemma connecting  $\circ$  and  $*$ .

4.11. LEMMA. (i)  $x \in T$  if and only if there exist compact sets  $\Phi' \subseteq \Omega$  and  $D' \subseteq X$  such that  $(\xi_{\Phi'} \circ \xi_{D'})(y) > 0$ .

(ii) Let  $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $D \subseteq X$  be compact. Then

$$\xi_\Phi * \xi_D > 0 \quad \text{l.a.e. on } \{x \in X: (\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_D)(x) > 0\},$$

$$\xi_\Phi * \xi_D = 0 \quad \text{l.a.e. on } \{x \in X: (\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_D)(x) = 0\}.$$

**Proof.** (i) The "if" part is trivial. Now assume  $x \in T$ . Let  $\Phi, D$  be as in the definition of  $T$ . By Theorem 4.3 and finite measures of  $\Phi$  and  $D$  we may assume  $\Phi \subseteq \Omega$  and  $D \subseteq Y$ , and also that  $\Phi, D$  are sigma-compact. Let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots$  and  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  be compact sets such that  $\Phi = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Phi_k, D = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_n$ . Then

$$0 < (\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_D)(x) = m(\{\sigma \in \Phi : \sigma^{-1}x \in D\}) = m\left(\bigcup_{k,n} \{\sigma \in \Phi_k : \sigma^{-1}x \in D_n\}\right).$$

It follows that for some  $k$  and  $n, m(\{\sigma \in \Phi_k : \sigma^{-1}x \in D_n\}) > 0$ . Put  $\Phi' = \Phi_k, D' = D_n$ , and we have  $(\xi_{\Phi'} \circ \xi_{D'})(x) > 0$ .

(ii) For  $f \in L_\Gamma$  and  $k \in L_X^\infty$ , we have (see §2) that  $f * k(x) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma)k(\sigma^{-1}x)J(\sigma^{-1}, x) d\sigma$  for locally almost every  $x$ . It follows that for l.a.e.  $x, (\xi_\Phi * \xi_D)(x) = 0$  if and only if  $\xi_\Phi(\sigma)\xi_D(\sigma^{-1}x) = 0$  l.a.e. on  $\Gamma$ . This proves (ii).

4.12. LEMMA. (i)  $T$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open, and hence measurable.

(ii)  $T \subseteq \Omega Y$ .

(iii)  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_T$ .

**Proof.** Since each  $f \circ k$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -continuous,  $T$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open, and by Lemma 4.7,  $T$  is measurable, so we have (i) sewed up. To prove (ii), we note that if  $x \notin \Omega Y$ , then for any  $\Phi \subseteq \Omega$  and  $Z \subseteq Y$  with finite measure,  $0 = \int_\Gamma \xi_\Phi(\sigma)\xi_Z(\sigma^{-1}x) d\sigma = \xi_\Phi \circ \xi_Z(x)$ , so  $x \notin T$ . Finally, we prove that  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_T$ . Since for any compact sets  $\Psi \subseteq \Omega$  and  $D \subseteq Y, \xi_\Psi * \xi_D = 0$  a.e. on  $X \setminus T$  (see Lemma 4.11(ii)), we have  $\xi_\Psi * \xi_D \in L_T$ . But  $L_\Omega * L_Y$  is generated by convolutions of such functions. Thus  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_T$ .

Next we see how  $T$  is affected by the operators  $d$  and  $i$  (see the beginning of §3). Of course  $d$  and  $i$  depend upon the topology of  $X$ . If we consider  $d$  and  $i$  with respect to the  $\mathcal{O}$ -topology, we will denote them by  $d_\mathcal{O}$  and  $i_\mathcal{O}$ . In  $\mathcal{T}$  we will just use the plain  $d$  and  $i$ . Now we produce a lemma closely associated with Corollary 3.5.

4.13. LEMMA. (i)  $d\Omega d_\mathcal{O} Y$  is contained in the  $\mathcal{O}$ -closure of  $T$ .

(ii)  $d\Omega i_\mathcal{O} Y \subseteq T$ .

**Proof.** To prove (i), note that  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_T$ . Hence  $(d\Omega)(d_\mathcal{O} Y) \subseteq d_\mathcal{O} T$  by Corollary 3.5(ii). Further,  $d_\mathcal{O} T$  lies in the  $\mathcal{O}$ -closure of  $T$ . Now we prove (ii). Let  $\sigma \in d\Omega$  and  $a \in i_\mathcal{O} Y$  and let  $D$  be a  $\mathcal{O}$ -compact neighborhood of  $a$  such that  $m_X(D \setminus Y) = 0$ . In

addition let  $\Phi$  be a neighborhood of the identity in  $\Gamma$  of finite measure and such that  $\Phi^{-1}a \subseteq D$ . Inasmuch as  $\tau \in \sigma\Phi \cap \Omega$  implies that  $\tau^{-1}\sigma a \in \Phi^{-1}a \subseteq D$ , we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_{\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega} \circ \xi_{D \cap Y})(\sigma a) &= \int_{\Gamma} \xi_{\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega}(\tau) \xi_{D \cap Y}(\tau^{-1}\sigma a) d\tau \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \xi_{\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega}(\tau) \xi_D(\tau^{-1}\sigma a) d\tau \quad (\text{by Theorem 4.3}) \\ &\geq \int_{\Gamma} \xi_{\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega}(\tau) d\tau \\ &> 0 \quad (\text{since } \sigma \in d\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\sigma a \in T$ .

4.14. THEOREM.  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y = L_T$ .

**Proof.** In the first place,  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_T$  by Lemma 4.12(iii). To prove the reverse inclusion, we refer to the measurable  $Z \subseteq X$  for which  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y = L_Z$  (Theorem 3.9). Let us prove that  $T \subseteq i_{\emptyset}Z$ . To begin, let  $a \in T$ . Then there are compact sets  $\Phi \subseteq \Omega$  and  $D \subseteq Y$  such that  $(\xi_{\Phi} \circ \xi_D)(a) > 0$ . If  $U = \{x \in X : (\xi_{\Phi} \circ \xi_D)(x) > 0\}$ , then  $U$  is an  $\emptyset$ -neighborhood of  $a$ , and since  $\xi_{\Phi} \circ \xi_D > 0$  on  $U$ , we must have  $U \subseteq Z$  l.a.e. Thus  $a \in i_{\emptyset}Z$ . Consequently  $T \subseteq i_{\emptyset}Z \subseteq Z$  l.a.e., so that  $L_T \subseteq L_Z = L_{\Omega} * L_Y$ .

5. **Vanishing modules.** The central problem we tackle in this section is the relationship between the two expressions  $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$  and  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ . They look as though they ought to be related; perhaps they are even equivalent. However, even at the outset trouble looms, because  $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$  is set-theoretic and  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$  is measure-theoretic. Nevertheless, let us work on the problem and see what we can harvest.

5.1. THEOREM. If  $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$ , then  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ .

**Proof.** Straightforward from the convolution formula (see §2).

That was simple. However, the converse of Theorem 5.1 is by no means so simple, even if  $X = \Gamma$ . A conjecture arose in [10] that if  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  is relatively sigma-compact in  $\Gamma$ , and if  $L_{\Omega} * L_{\Omega} \subseteq L_{\Omega}$ , then there exists an  $\Omega' \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $\Omega' = \Omega$  a.e. and  $\Omega'\Omega' \subseteq \Omega'$ . Finally this was proved in [6]. For  $\Omega$  which is not relatively sigma-compact the answer is yet unknown, so far as we can determine. Consequently the extension from subalgebras of  $L_{\Gamma}$  to submodules of  $L_X$  can be expected to bring great difficulty.

A subalgebra  $L_{\Omega}$  of  $L_{\Gamma}$  with the property that  $L_{\Omega} * L_{\Omega} \subseteq L_{\Omega}$  has been christened a vanishing algebra [10], since it vanishes outside  $\Omega$ . A subspace  $L_Y$  of  $L_X$  with the property that for a specific  $L_{\Omega}$  in  $L_{\Gamma}$  one has  $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$  might be called a vanishing submodule of  $L_X$ . In our proposition we refer to symbolic notation for clarity and simplicity.



5.2. THEOREM. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  be measurable relatively sigma-compact sets. Then there exist  $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y_0 \subseteq X$  such that  $\Omega_0 = \Omega$  a.e., and  $Y_0 = Y$  a.e., and such that  $\Omega_0 Y_0 = T$ .

**Proof.** For the present we assume that  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  are relatively compact. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $Y_x = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma^{-1}x \in Y\}$ . If  $\Phi$  is any compact neighborhood of  $1 \in \Gamma$ , define  $f_{\Phi, Y}$  and  $g_{\Phi, \Omega}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\Phi, Y}(x) &= m(Y_x \cap \Phi)/m(\Phi), & x \in X, \\ g_{\Phi, \Omega}(\sigma) &= m(\sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap \Phi)/m(\Phi), & \sigma \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

First we note that  $f_{\Phi, Y}$  and  $g_{\Phi, \Omega}$  are measurable. Given any measurable set  $Z \subseteq X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_Z [\xi_Y(x) - f_{\Phi, Y}(x)] dx \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{m(\Phi)} \int_Z \int_{\Phi} [\xi_Y(x) - \xi_{Y_x}(\sigma)] d\sigma dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m(\Phi)} \int_{\Phi} d\sigma \int_Z |\xi_Y(x) - \xi_{\sigma Y}(x)| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{m(\Phi)} \int_{\Phi} m_X(Z \cap (Y \Delta \sigma Y)) d\sigma \\ &\leq \sup_{\sigma \in \Phi} m_X(Y \Delta \sigma Y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta$  is used to denote the symmetric difference of sets. Thus  $\|\xi_Y - f_{\Phi, Y}\|_1 \leq 2 \sup_{\sigma \in \Phi} m_X(Y \Delta \sigma Y)$ . Similarly,  $\|\xi_{\Omega} - g_{\Phi, \Omega}\|_1 \leq 2 \sup_{\sigma \in \Phi} m(\Omega \Delta \Omega \sigma^{-1})$ . Since  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  are relatively compact, the functions  $\sigma \rightarrow m_X(Y \Delta \sigma Y)$  and  $\sigma \rightarrow m(\Omega \Delta \Omega \sigma^{-1})$  are continuous at 1 by Corollary 3.6 of [4]. Hence, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a neighborhood  $\Phi$  of 1 such that  $\|\xi_Y - f_{\Phi, Y}\|_1 < \varepsilon$  and  $\|\xi_{\Omega} - g_{\Phi, \Omega}\|_1 < \varepsilon$ .

Now we drop the condition that  $\Omega$  and  $Y$  be relatively compact. By the hypotheses, there exist increasing sequences  $(\Omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of relatively compact sets in  $\Gamma$  and  $X$  respectively such that  $\Omega = \bigcup_n \Omega_n$  and  $Y = \bigcup_n Y_n$ . For each  $n$  we may choose a compact neighborhood  $\Phi_n$  of 1 such that  $\|\xi_{\Omega_n} - g_{\Phi_n, \Omega_n}\|_1 \leq n^{-2}$  and  $\|\xi_{Y_n} - f_{\Phi_n, Y_n}\|_1 \leq n^{-2}$ . Since  $0 \leq 1 - f_{\Phi_n, Y} \leq 1 - f_{\Phi_n, Y_n}$ , we obtain

$$\|[1 - f_{\Phi_n, Y}]\xi_{Y_n}\|_1 \leq \|[1 - f_{\Phi_n, Y_n}]\xi_{Y_n}\|_1 \leq \|\xi_{Y_n} - f_{\Phi_n, Y_n}\|_1 \leq n^{-2}.$$

It follows that  $\lim_n \{[1 - f_{\Phi_n, Y}]\xi_{Y_n}\} = 0$  a.e., so that  $\lim_n f_{\Phi_n, Y} = 1$  a.e. on  $Y$ . In the same way one can prove that  $\lim_n g_{\Phi_n, \Omega} = 1$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ .

We have set the stage for  $\Omega_0$  and  $Y_0$ . Let  $\Omega_0 = \{\sigma \in \Omega : \lim_n g_{\Phi_n, \Omega}(\sigma) = 1\}$ , and let  $Y_0 = \{x \in Y : \lim_n f_{\Phi_n, Y}(x) = 1\}$ . Then  $\Omega_0 = \Omega$  a.e. and  $Y_0 = Y$  a.e. We already know from Lemma 4.12(ii) that  $T \subseteq \Omega_0 Y_0$ . To prove the opposite inclusion, let  $\sigma \in \Omega_0$ ,  $y \in Y_0$ . There is an  $n$  such that  $f_{\Phi_n, Y}(y) > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $g_{\Phi_n, \Omega}(\sigma) > \frac{1}{2}$ . If we let  $\Phi = \Phi_n$  then  $\Phi$  is a neighborhood of 1 in  $\Gamma$  such that  $m(\sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap \Phi) > \frac{1}{2}m(\Phi)$  and  $m_X(Y_y \cap \Phi) > \frac{1}{2}m(\Phi)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Phi \cap \sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap Y_y) &= m(\Phi \cap \sigma^{-1}\Omega) + m(\Phi \cap Y_y) - m(\Phi \cap (\sigma^{-1}\Omega \cup Y_y)) \\ &> \frac{1}{2}m(\Phi) + \frac{1}{2}m(\Phi) - m(\Phi) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_{\sigma\Phi\cap\Omega} \circ \xi_{\Phi^{-1}Y\cap Y}(\sigma y) &= m(\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega \cap (\Phi^{-1}y \cap Y)_{\sigma y}) \\
 &= m(\sigma\Phi \cap (\Phi^{-1}y)_{\sigma y} \cap \Omega \cap Y_{\sigma y}) \\
 &= m(\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega \cap Y_{\sigma y}) \\
 &= m(\Phi \cap \sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap Y_y) \\
 &> 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $\sigma y \in T$ , because  $\xi_{\sigma\Phi\cap\Omega} \in L_\Omega$  and  $\xi_{\Phi^{-1}Y\cap Y} \in L_Y$ .

**5.3. THEOREM.** *Let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  be measurable relatively sigma-compact sets. Assume also that  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ . Then there exist  $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y_1 \subseteq X$  such that  $\Omega_1 = \Omega$  a.e. and  $Y_1 = Y$  a.e., and such that  $\Omega_1 Y_1 \subseteq Y_1$ .*

**Proof.** By the preceding theorem,  $\Omega_0 Y_0 = T$ . In view of the assumption  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$  and the identity  $L_Y = L_{Y_0}$ , Theorem 4.14 tells us that  $T \subseteq Y_0$  l.a.e. Since  $T$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -open,  $T \subseteq i_{\mathcal{O}} Y_0$ . But then  $(d\Omega_0)T \subseteq T$  by Lemma 4.13(ii). Now let  $\Omega_1 = \Omega_0 \cap d\Omega_0$  and  $Y_1 = Y_0 \cup T$ . Then  $\Omega_1 = \Omega$  l.a.e.,  $Y_1 = Y$  l.a.e., and  $\Omega_1 Y_1 \subseteq \Omega_0 Y_0 \cup (d\Omega_0)T \subseteq T \subseteq Y_1$ .

We mention that Theorem 5.3 generalizes Theorem 4.3 of [6]. We do not know if we may eliminate the sigma-compactness hypothesis. Under certain circumstances—when  $Y$  is either open or closed—we can, as demonstrated in Corollaries 3.12 and 3.13.

As might be expected, if we further restrict our attention to those  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  for which  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$ , we can furnish more explicit information. For this case we give a complete solution to the converse of Theorem 5.1. First we prove the theorem for  $X = \Gamma$ .

**5.4. THEOREM.**  *$L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_\Omega$  if and only if there is an open set  $\Omega_0$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Omega_0 = \Omega$  l.a.e. and such that  $\Omega_0 \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_\Omega$ . We will show that we can take  $\Omega_0$  to be  $T$ . By Theorem 4.14,  $T = \Omega$  l.a.e., by Lemma 4.12(i)  $T$  is open ( $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{T}$ !). In order that the definition of  $\Omega_0$  as  $T$  satisfy the conclusions of the theorem, we need only show that  $TT = T$ . Since

$$TT \subseteq (i_{\mathcal{O}}T)(i_{\mathcal{O}}T) = (i_{\mathcal{O}}\Omega)(i_{\mathcal{O}}T) \subseteq (d_{\mathcal{O}}\Omega)(i_{\mathcal{O}}T),$$

Lemma 4.13(ii) yields  $TT \subseteq T$ , whereas  $T \subseteq TT$  by Lemma 4.12(ii) since  $L_T = L_\Omega$ .

To prove the converse, we first show that  $\Omega = T$  l.a.e. By Lemma 4.12(ii)  $T \subseteq \Omega_0 \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$ , while by Lemma 4.13(ii),  $\Omega_0 \Omega_0 \subseteq T$ , since  $\Omega_0$  is open. Then Theorem 4.14 wraps it up:  $L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_T = L_\Omega$ .

**5.5. THEOREM.**  *$L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$  if and only if there exist  $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ -open  $Y_0 \subseteq X$  such that  $\Omega_0 = \Omega$  l.a.e.,  $Y_0 = Y$  l.a.e., and such that  $\Omega_0 Y_0 = Y_0$ .*

**Proof.** We show that  $\Omega_0 = \Omega \cap d\Omega$  and  $Y_0 = T$  satisfy the requirements, under the assumption that  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$ . Clearly  $\Omega_0 = \Omega$  l.a.e. By virtue of Theorem 4.14,  $T = Y$  l.a.e. This means that  $L_{\Omega_0} * L_T = L_T$ , so that by Lemma 4.12(ii)  $T \subseteq \Omega_0 T$ . On the other hand,  $\Omega_0 T \subseteq (d\Omega)(i_\emptyset T) = (d\Omega)(i_\emptyset Y) \subseteq T$  by Lemma 4.13(ii). The converse can be proved virtually the same as the converse in Theorem 5.4.

Assuredly, if  $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$ , then  $T$  is open in  $\mathcal{T}$ , so we obtain  $Y_0$  open in that topology.

If  $1 \in d\Omega$ , then by Lemma 3.1,  $L_\Omega$  contains an approximate identity  $(u_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $L_\Gamma$ . For every  $k \in L_Y$  we then have  $k = \lim_i u_i * k \in L_\Omega * L_Y$ . Thus we obtain

5.6. THEOREM. *If  $1 \in d\Omega$  and  $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ , then  $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$ .*

5.7. COROLLARY. *Let  $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$  be such that  $1 \in d\Omega$  and  $L_\Omega$  is a subalgebra of  $L_\Gamma$ . Then there is an open  $\Omega_0 \subseteq T$  such that  $\Omega_0 = \Omega$  l.a.e. and  $\Omega_0 \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$ .*

It is worth noticing that  $\Gamma$  may well contain open subsets  $\Omega$  with  $\Omega\Omega = \Omega$  while  $1 \notin d\Omega$ . For an example, let  $\Gamma$  be the additive group of the reals with the discrete topology, and  $\Omega = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma > 0\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Intégration*. Chapitre 6: *Intégration vectorielle*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1281, Hermann, Paris, 1959. MR 23 #A2033.
2. P. J. Cohen, *Factorization in group algebras*, Duke Math. J. **26** (1959), 199–205. MR 21 #3729.
3. S. L. Gulick, T.-S. Liu and A. C. M. van Rooij, *Group algebra modules*. I, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 133–150. MR 36 #5712.
4. ———, *Group algebra modules*. II, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 151–173. MR 36 #5713.
5. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Vol. 1: *Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Academic Press, New York and Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1963. MR 28 #158.
6. T.-S. Liu, *Invariant subspaces of some function spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **14** (1963), 231–239. MR 27 #1561.
7. T.-S. Liu and A. C. M. van Rooij, *Transformation groups and absolutely continuous measures*. II, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **73** = Indag. Math. **32** (1970), 57–61.
8. ———, *Sums and intersections of normed linear spaces*, Math. Nachr. **42** (1969), 29–42.
9. W. Rudin, *Measure algebras on abelian groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1959), 227–247. MR 21 #7404.
10. A. B. Simon, *Vanishing algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1959), 154–167. MR 23 #A1240.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND,  
COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742  
UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS,  
AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS 01002  
CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY,  
NIJMEGEN, THE NETHERLANDS